Inspection Date: 4/17/86
Inspector: Barron Peeler

Attl

Whittaker Bermite - CADO64573108 Inspection Report

I On 4/17/86, a TSD inspection was conducted at Whittaker Corporation-Bermite Division, 22Mb West Soledad Canyon Road, Saugus, California 91350 by Barron Peeler of S.E.V. During the course of this inspection information was 94 thered in accordance with Section 3007 of RCRA.

During the course of this record review and facility inspection, the following were observed:

Barron J. Peeter, WMS/SCS
Facility Representative: Thomas J. Bloom Imanager, Environmentall

John Religion-Env. Ensineer Health + Sa Rety

Larry Bohanon - actins General Manager

I Description of Facility- See EPA checklist pg. 2

The tank farm is inactive at this time because the protective line is need to be replaced. The facility is using two temporary tanks to hold the clean up water. The temporary tanks hold 500 gallons each. One tank contained Lead Acine wash water which was manifested 4/16/86. The other tank contains map water. It produced about SS sol per two weeks.

· Production is low at this time of the year

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

- The Flammable liquids consist of mainly hexane ace fone and water. This has not been manifested and since 3/10/86. The facility plans on processing the waste through a recovery process which was submitted to DOHS. + A QIMD.
- Facility is also addressing new ways to handle waste streams by a Chamical Meutralization Process. The plan however has not been submitted to DOHS.
- The manifest seem to be in place and proparly filled out
 - * The head a zide neutralization process is the only treatment & poten
 - Contingency Plan has been revised for Part B application on 2/86. Mr. Bloom said DOHS has been submitted a copy.

 Procedures for explosion and fires were added in contingency plan.

 Personell needs to be changed delete John Pearson. environmental Engine
 - Mr. leeler received a copy of the Moste analysis Plan which was submitted 8/27/85 to DOHS.

UAIE 4/17/86
CA D 06 4 57 3 1 08
INSPECTOR BP

- · Inspection + Inspection Log Facility implemented inspection log and reports of Tank Storage, Container Storage and Building/Magozine Storage in 1986

 Daity, Weekly + Monthly Reports are Kept a the Eccility
 - Closure Plan & Closure Cost was submitted to
 DOHS revised version for Part B Application for:

 1. Storage + Treatment in Tanks + Containers

 (Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8A, 8B, 9A, 8B + 13)

 2. Burning Ranges (Units 7, 12)

 New Plan was effective 2/86 replan replaces 3/1/85 plan.
 - 1. Relocation of storage Burning Range material is now stored in Bld. 223 Unit b lower Magazine Rd 2. Facility also Revised Part A during Part B submittal concerning change in location.
 - · Personne | Training Records are at Eccility
 Operating Record has been revised
 - " One of the Bu pressure tested Evel oil tank had a leak (pinhole). It exceed the AA levels. Analysis showed 199 ppm in a localize a rea.

UAIR 4/17/86

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

INSPECTOR BP

Ground Water Montosing has been limited

Bld. 342 lower pad is closed

Bld 317 Tank Form has not been sampled.

- Inspection of Facility - observations and comments.
- Surface Impoundments.

Unit9 = Opper Pad = Tank Farm

Unit 8 = Lower Pad = Temporary Storage Area. They have been using pad to be the future Drum Storage Area. They have been using the pad for storage since 1986 of January.

- * Unit b Pyrotechnic Storage. waste from burn range to Unit b consist of 3 storage bunker relocated from the burn range.
 - Lead Azide Treatment Unit
 - Bld 223-Unit2 or PEP storage holds contaminated combustibles stored in conductive bags tied off inside fiber board druns. Used to be located in the burning range).
 - Unit 1 mactive Since 1980 use to process Red Phosphorus wash down.

UALE 4/17/86

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

Minor Problem is Temporary Drum Storage Area

1. one drum exceeded the 90 storage. Date 11/13/85

- 2. Drum labeled III Tric top is off. is needs to be covered
- 3. 500 gal drum label needs to be taken off since drum was man Eested (pumpdout) yesterday.

Mr. Bloom said he will address + resolved these problem quickly-

- There are 36, 11 questions to whether or not the Lead Acide washoff areq was a surface impoundment or a tank storage area originally. However the area is posted as as hazordous was to area. Facility believes that area orisinally had a tank (storage).

- Mr. Bloom will submit to Mr. Peeler 1. Letter Grom Martin varifying inventory transportation of waste 2 Euncustion emergency route map site Pan Dry #602440 3. Contingency Plan - page 3 Revised Not fict on List 4. Maragement Unit #6, Inventory Example Papers were submitted 4/22/86

Facility is not open bushins anymore. They want to incenerate but need approval from APMD.

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

III VIOLATIONS OBSERVED

Whittaker Bermite has some pending violetion from EPA inspection on 6/25/85. Many of these violations have been resolved.

A few minor details were discussed with State facility such as:

1.) one un covered down in temporary storage area 2) Hazadous Weste label on drum when it shouldn't be 3.) Contingency Plan - one page missing.

However no new major Violalation were observed.

Discussion with management

This fruitly his presently submitted a revised Part B under interim status. They has also submitted to DOHS revised Closure Plan + Cost for Closure at there 1.) Storage a Treatment in Tanks and Containers and 2.) Burning Rayges. Whiteher has not open burned since AOMD shut that process down in 1985. Whittier wants to incinecate but needs approval also from AOMD.

Production is very low at this time of the year.

Att 1		TTOWING WAD FIALOKO	EMENT LUG (213) 620.	2380 Barron Pee
HANDLER NAME: Wes	4573, LD8 nttakec Corporation t Soledad Canyon VALUATION WHICH IS 5 S REPORT: 4/17/86	in Bernite Division	4. FACILITY: //Majo //Non- CA 91350 CY: (\$) = State (\$\text{O}\$)	: or TSD //Genera -Major TSD //Trans //Other
TYPE OF EVALUATION BY THIS REPORT: (circle all that DATE OF EVALUATION THIS REPORT (ente	2 = Sam 3 = Rec 4 = Gro 5 = Fol	in HWDUS	7 = 8 = ng Evaluation 9 = 0 =	Part B Call In Withdrawal Candidat
VIOLATIONS: class of violation G	Area o	of Violation Pt. B Cmpl.Sch	Manifest Other 1-0 1-0 3-0 3-0	Comments
DATE OF EVALUATION THIS REPORT (enter violation) VIOLATIONS: Class of violation G	VALUATION WHICH IS 5 S REPORT: 4/17/86 N COVERED apply) 4 = Gro 5 = Fol N COVERED BY r only if different fro	Read Sausus Sa. RESPONSIBLE AGENCE Aluation Inspection Appling inspection Ford Review Found Water Monitoring Flow Up The HWDMS Om 5):/ Of Violation	(annual/ISD) 6 = 7 = 8 = ng Evaluation 9 = 0 = A = Manifest Other 1 - 0 1 - 0 3 - 0	/ /Oth Los Augles (on) SWRCB) or/State (County) (Others) Citizen Complain Part B Call In Withdrawal Cand: Closed Facility General Meetings

9. ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS:

	Area of	Type	Date Action	Compliance Dates					Agcy
Class	Violation	(use code)	Taken	Scheduled	Actual	Assessed	Collected	(code)	

copy	

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

Inspection Report
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
Toxics and Waste Management Division
Field Operations Branch

(DOHS - SCS)

Purpose: TSD Inspection

Facility Name: Whittaker Bermite

Street: 2216 West Soledad Canyon Road

City: Saugus State: CA Zip Code: 91350

EPA ID number: CAD 064573108

Report Number:

Date of Investigation: 4 /17 /86

EPA Inspector(s):

State Inspector(s): Barron J. Peeler
(213) 620-2380

Facility Representative(s): Thomas J. Bloom (213) 629-1403

Manager, Environmental Health + Safety

John Peloquin (303) 366-8469

Corporate Hyganist & Environmental Engineer

Report Prepared By: Barron Pealer

UK	. 4/17/86			
CA	D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8			
INSPECTOR				

Form A - Interim Status Standards for Facilities that Treat, Store or Dispose of Hazardous Waste

		Information:	
(A)	operator: Bermite Divis Street: 22116 West S	ion of Whittooledad Canyor	aker Corpora trom Road
	City: Saugus State:	CA zip Co	de: 91350
(B)	Owner: Whittaker Cor	poration	
	Street: 10980 Wilshire	Boulevard	
	City: Los Angeles States		ode: 90024
(C)	Site Activity:		
	Generation: Complete Form B Transportation: Complete Form	Compl	Quantity Generator: ete Porm D ler: Complete Form E
	Storæge:	Dispo	sal:
X	Container (SO1)	Injec	tion Well (D79)
	Tank (SO2)		ill (D80)
	Waste Pile (SO3)	Land	Application (D81)
_X	Surface Impoundment (SO4)	Ocean	Disposal (D82)
		Surfa	ce Impoundment (D83)
	Treatment:	Process Code:	Design Capacity:
X	Tank (TO1)	501	200 U 46.695 G
	Surface Impoundment (TO2)	504	180,000 G
	Incinerator (TO3)		

Other (TO4)

Unic 4/17/86 CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8 INSPECTOR BP

I. General Information: - Continued
(D) Nature Of Business:
Whittaker Bermite produces at their
facility explosives, propellants, Elare, and
igniter products under contract with the
igniter products under contract with the Department of Defense.
(E) Description Of Facility Processes:
Site operations are spread over a large area of semi-
rural land with waste operations remote from each other

and the property lines. The facility has a number of Hezerdour Waste Units: Lead Azide Wash Water Treatment Unit, Lead Acid · Surface Impoundment, East Fook Detonation Range, Portable Storage Magazines Waste Pyrotechnic Storge Magazine, Drom Storge Unit (by Building 317) Future Drum Storage Unit, Open Burning Aveg, Storage Tant Farm, and closed Surface Impoundment. A revised Part A has been submitted that reflects the current and expected future operation that occur on site, Contingency Plan and Waste Analysis Plan has been coursed. The hazardous waste impoundmentunits have been closed. The Red Phosphorus Stabilizing lagoon, the reactives and sport solvents surface impoundment and the lead a zido neutralization process now replaced by the tank Garm init arenot in use. The facility has no groundwater montoring program.

Unic 4/17/86

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR B

		1. Gereral Intolnacion.	- CONCENIUM	
(F)	Report Attachments:		:	•
AL	1- CMEL			
At	the Inspection	Report		
		•		
			. •	
			•	

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR B

II. <u>Interim Status</u>: (Part 270 Subpart G)

		res	<u>NO</u>	Comments
(A)	Qualifying For Interim Status:			
1.	For the existing facility to be treated as having been issued a permit, the facility must have:			•
a.	Submitted a notification of H.W. activity (270.70a.1)?	<u>/</u>		
b.	Submitted Part A of the permit application (270.70a.2)?	<u></u>	_	
c.	Achieved compliance with RCRA interim status standards (270.70b)?	<u>/</u>		
(B)	Operating During Interim Status:			
1.	Has the facility complied with the following restrictions:			
a.	Has only treated, stored or disposed of H.W. specified in the Part A (270.71a.1)?	<u>√</u>	_	
b.	Has only employed processes specified in the Part A (270.71a.2)?	<u>/</u>	_	
c.	Has not exceeded design capacities specified in the Part A (270.71a.3)?			Not inspected
(C)	Changes_During Interim Status:		•	
1.	Bas a revised Part A been submitted prior to the following changes:	٠		
a.	T/S/D of H.W. not previously identified in the Part A (270.72a)?		_	change in location of HWstorage
b.	Increases in design capacity of processes (270.72b)?	· <u>✓</u>		
c.	Changes in or additions to pro- cesses (270.72c)?		_	NA
đ.	Change in ownership (270.72d)?			NA
۷.	Have the changes made not amounted to reconstruction (270.72e)?	_/		

Unic 4/17/86

CA 0064573108

INSPECTOR B

III. General Facility Standards: (Part 265 Subpart B)

		Yes	No	Comments
(A)	Required Notices:			
1.	Has the RA been notified regarding the receipt of H.W. from a foreign source (265.12a)?			N/A all waste senerated
2.	Before transferring ownership, has the facility notified the new owners in writing of the requirements of Parts 265 and 122 (265.12b)?	· —		MA SITE
(B)	General Waste Analysis:			
1.	Has the facility obtained a detailed chemical and physical analysis of each H.W. (265.13a.1)?	<u>/</u>		submitted 8/27/85
2.	Does the analysis contain all information that must be known to properly treat, store or dispose of the H.W. (265.13a.1)?	<u>.</u>		•
3.	Does the facility have records documenting the required H.W. analysis, e.g., lab reports, published data, generator supplied data (265.13a.2)?	_		Analysis are with
4.	Has the analysis been repeated to ensure that it is accurate and up-to-date (265.13a.3)?		2	Waste streams are the same.
5.	Is the analysis repeated when there is a change in the process (265.13a.3)?		,	decomission
6.	For off-site facilities, is the analysis repeated when the H.W. received does not match the H.W. designated on the manifest (265.13a.3)?			NA
7.	For off-site facilities, does the facility inspect or analyze each movement of H.W. to verify that the H.W. received matches the identity of the H.W. specified on the manifest (265, 132, 4)?			11 / h

	4/17/86	
UM,		

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

INSPECTOR BP

III. General Facility Standards: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart B)

		Yes	No_	Coments
8.	Does the facility have a detailed waste analysis plan (265.13b)?	<u> </u>		WAS submitted 8/27/85
9.	Does the facility follow the procedures specified in the waste analysis plan (265.13b)?	<u> </u>		
10.	Does the waste analysis plan contain the following elements:			
a.	Parameters of analysis of each H.W. handled (265.13b.1)?	- ✓		
b.	Rationale for the selection of each parameter (265.13b.2)?			
c.	Test methods used to obtain a representative sample of H.W. (265.13b.3)?	<u>/</u>		•••
. d.	Prequency which each analysis will be repeated (265.13b.4)?	·. <u>/</u>		
e.	Por off-site facilities, the analysis that generators have agreed to supply (265.13b.5)?			NA
11,	Por off-site facilities, does the plan specify procedures for inspection or analysis of each movement of H.W. (265.13c)?			N/A
12.	For off-site facilities, does the plan contain the following elements:	•		
a	Description of procedures used to identify each movement of H.W. (265.13c.1)?			N/A
ь	Description of the sampling method used to obtain a representative sample of the H.W. (265.13c.2)?			N/A
(C)	Security:			
1.	Do security measures include:			
a	. 24-hour surveillance (265.14b.1)?	<u>/</u>		·

D 06 4 57 3 1 08
INSPECTOR B

III. General Pacility Standards: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart B)

	•	Yes	No	Comments
b.	Artificial or natural barriers and controlled entry (265.14b.2)?	\checkmark		•
c.	Signs with the legend "Danger- Unauthorized Personnel Keep Out" posted at entrances to active portions of facility (265.14c)?	∠		
(D)	General Inspection Requirements:		•	
1.	Does the facility inspect for equipment malfunctions and deterioration, operator errors, and H.W. discharges (265.15a)?	_		
2.	Does the facility follow a written inspection schedule (265.15b.1)?	\checkmark		state 7.5. 1/188
3.	Is the schedule kept at this facility (265.15b.2)?	_		
4.	Does the schedule identify types of problems that are expected from malfunction, operator error, deterioration or discharges of all: (265.15b)	, - 		
a.	monitoring equipment?	~	-	
b.	<pre>safety, emergency equipment?</pre>	_		
c.	security equipment?	\angle		
đ.	operating and structural equipment?			
5.	Does the schedule indicate the frequency of inspection for each item (265.15b.4)?	. <u>/</u>		
6.	Does the schedule include daily inspections of loading and unloading areas (265.15b.4)?		/	
7.	Has the facility taken remedial action to correct the problems revealed on an inspection (265.15c)?	_		

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

	1	Yes	N	<u>o_</u>	Comments .
8.	Are inspections recorded in an inspection log (265.15d)?	$\sqrt{}$	_	_	,
9.	Does the log include: (265.15d)				
a.	Date and time of inspection?	<u>/</u>	_	_	
ь.	Name of inspector?	#	, -		initials of inspector
c.	Observations recorded?	_	_	_	
d.	Date and nature of repairs or other remedial actions?	1	_	_	
10.	Are inspection records kept for at least 3 years (265.15d)?				Started 1/1/86
(E)	Personnel Training:				. •
1.	Does the facility have a personnel training program (265.16a.1)?	<u>/</u>	-		
2.	Is it directed by a person trained in H.W. management procedures (265.16a.2)?	_/	, -		
3.	Does the program include training in: (265.16a.3)				·
a.	Procedures for using, inspecting, repairing and replacing emergency and monitoring equipment?	· <u>/</u>		·	
b.	Emergency procedures including contingency plan implementation?	_			
4.	Do new personnel receive required training within 6 months (265.16b)?				
5.	Do personnel take part in an annual review of the initial training (265.16c)?	_	/	-	

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 08
INSPECTOR B

III. General Facility Standards: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart B)

		res	NO	Comments
6.	Do personnel training records include: (265.16d)			
a.	Job titles?	\checkmark		in contingency
b.	Job Descriptions?	_		plan
c.	Descriptions of training?	_		
b.	Records of training?	1		
(P)	Requirements For Ignitable, Reactive Or Incompatible Wastes:	₽,		
1.	Are the following precautions taken to prevent accidental ignition or reaction: (265.17a)			·
a.	Separation and protection from ignition sources?	<u>/</u>		•
b.	No smoking signs in hazard areas?			
2.	Is the T/S/D of ignitable, reactive and incompatible waste conducted so that it does not: (265.17b)			
a.	Generate extreme heat or pressure, fire or explosion, or violent reaction?		·	N/A
b.	Produce uncontrolled toxic or flammable mists, fumes, dusts or gases?			
C.	Damage structural integrity of H.W. containment devices? (e.g., tanks, containers, liners)			
đ.	Threaten human health or the environment?		/	

<u> </u>	1//17/86
CA	0 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INS	PECTOR R

IV. <u>Preparedness and Prevention:</u> (Part 265 Subpart C)

		Yes	No_	Comments
(A)	Is the facility designed, constructed, maintained, and operated to minimize the possibility of fire, explosion, or releases of H.W. or H.W. constituents to air, soil, or surface water which could threaten human health or the environment (265.31)?			N/A Fire & explosion ave disposal techniques
(B)	Required Equipment:			
1.	Does the facility have the following equipment where applicable:			
a.	Internal communications or alarm systems (265.32a)?	_		Submitted in Contingency Plan 2/86
b.	Telephone or 2-way radios at the scene of operation (265.32b)?	_		
c.	Portable fire extinguishers with water, foam, inert gas, dry chemical; spill control and decontamination equipment (265.32c)?			
d.	Water at adequate volume and pressure or foam producing equipment or automatic sprinklers (265.32d)?			
(C)	Testing And Maintenance Of Equipment:	:	•	
1.	Does the facility test and maintain . emergency equipment in operable condition (265.33)?	_		
(D)	Access To Communications Or Alarm Sys	stems:		
1.	Do personnel in areas where H.W. is being handled have immediate access to these systems (265.34)?	_		
(E)	Required Aisle Space:			
1.	Is their adequate aisle space for unobstructed movement of fire, spill control and decontamination equipment in an emergency (265,35)?			

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

INSPECTOR Bf

	:	Yes	No	Comments
(P)	Arrangements With Local Authorities:			,
1.	Has the facility made the following arrangements:			
a.	Arrangements to familiarize police, fire dept., and emergency response team with H.W. operations (265.37a.1)?	<u> </u>		
b.	Agreements designating primary emergency authority (265.37a.2)?	\checkmark		
c.	Agreements with State emergency response teams, contractors and equipment suppliers (265.37a.3)?			(Martin Pumpins) submitted to DOHS 4/86
d.	Arrangements to familiarize local hospitals with the properties of H.W. and the types of potential injuries and illnesses from exposure to H.W. (265.37a.4)?	<u> </u>		. •
2.	Did the facility document in the operating record any refusal by State or local authorities to enter into such arrangements (265.37b)?			N/A

JA11/86

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

INSPECTOR BP

V. Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures: (Part 265 Subpart D)

		165	10	2	differes
(A)	Does the facility have a contingency plan (265.51a)?	<u> </u>			,
(B)	Content Of Contingency Plan:				
1.	Does the plan describe actions personnel must take to comply with \$\$ 265.51 & 265.56 in response to fires, explosions, or unplanned releases of H.W. (265.52a)?	<u> </u>		•	
2.	Does the plan describe arrangements agreed by police, fire dept., hospitals, contractors, and State and local emergency response teams to coordinate emergency services pursuant to § 265.37 (265.52c)?	<u>/</u>		•	
3.	Does the Plan list names, addresses, and phone numbers (office & home) of all persons qualified to act as emergency coordinators (265.52d)? (list in order of responsibility)		-		
4.	Does the plan list all emergency equipment including the location and physical description of each item on the list and a brief outline of its capability (265.52e)?	<u>/</u>	, <u> </u>		
5.	Does the plan include an evacuation plan for personnel and a description of signals to begin evacuation, evacuation routes and alternate routes (265.52f)?				Fuguration Plan Submitted 4/86
(C)	Copies of Contingency Plan:				to DOAS.
1.	Is the plan maintained at the facility (265.53a)?		_	_	
2.	Has the plan been submitted to all local emergency organizations (265.53b)?	<u> </u>	·	_	

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

V. Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures: - Con't. (Part 265 Subpart D)

		162	<u> </u>	Contractor	
(D)	Amendment Of Contingency Plan:			•	
1.	Has the plan been reviewed and immediately amended when required (265.54)?	\checkmark		in process of review by DC	2Н(
(E)	Emergency Coordinator:				
1.	Is the coordinator familiar with all aspects of site operation and emergency procedures (265.55)?	<u>√</u>			
2.	Does the coordinator have authority to carry out the contingency plan (265.55)?	_			
(F)	Emergency Procedures:				
1.	If an emergency situation has occurred at this facility, has the emergency coordinator followed the emergency procedures listed in § 265.56 (265.56)?	٠.			

4/17/86

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

INSPECTOR BP

VI. Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting: (Part 265 Subpart E)

		Yes	<u>No</u>	Comments
(A)	Use of Manifest System:			
1.	Does the facility comply with the following manifest requirements:			
a.	Sign and date each copy of the manifest (265.71a.1)?	<u> </u>		
b.	Note any significant * discrepancies in the manifest (265.71a.2)?	$\underline{\checkmark}$		
c.	Give transporter one copy of the signed manifest (265.71a.3)?	√	_	
đ.	Within 30 days after delivery, send a copy of the manifest to the generator (265.71a.4)?	_/		
2.	Are records of past shipments retained for 3 years (265.71a.5)?	/	-	• •
(B)	Manifest Discrepancies:			•
1.	Upon discovering a significant discrepancy, has the facility made an attempt to reconcile the discrepancy with the generator or transporter (265.72b)?	$\sqrt{}$		
2.	For discrepancies not reconciled within 15 days, has the facility followed the required reporting procedures (265.72b)?			
(C)	Operating Record:			
1.	Does the facility maintain an operating record (265.73a)?	<u>/</u>		

* Significant discrepancies are:

(

- 1. For bulk waste; variations > 10% in weight
- 2. For containerized waste; variations > one drum
- 3. Obvious differences such as waste solvent substituted for waste acid

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR B

VI. Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting: - Con't (Part 265 Subpart E)

	\		,	
		Yes	No_	Comments
2.	Does the operating record contain the following information:			
a.	A description and the quantity of each waste received (265.73b.1)?		_	N/A No officite weste accepta
b.	The method(s) and date(s) of its treatment, storage or disposal as required by Appendix I (265.73b.1)?			Need roussion
c.	The location of each waste within the facility and the quantity at each location (265.73b.2)? (This information must include cross-references to specific manifest numbers.)	- ₩		
d.	For disposal facilities, the location and quantity of each waste is recorded on a map or diagram of each cell or disposal area (265.73b.2)?	·		NIA
e.	Records and results of all waste analysis and trial tests (265.73b.3)?	,		
f.	Reports detailing all incidents that required implementation of the contingency plan (265.73b.4)?			N/A
g.	Records and results of operator inspections (265.73b.5)?		-	Inspection schedule
h.	Monitoring data (265.73b.6)?			N/A.
i.	All closure and post-closure costs as applicable (265.73b.7)?	<u> </u>		
(D)	Availability, Retention, Disposition Of Records:	ı ·		
1.	Are all records including plans available for inspection (265.74a)?	<u>/</u>		
2.	Have copies of records of H.W. disposal locations and quantities under \$ 265.73b.2 been submitted to the RA			

n/A

and local land authority upon

alocume of the facility 1265 74a12

CA D 06 4 5 7 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR 747 765

VI. Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting: - Con't. (Part 265 Subpart E)

		Yes	No	Comments
(E)	Biennial Report:			•
1.	Has the facility submitted a biennial report to the RA by March 1 of each even numbered year (265.75)?	✓		
2.	Was the report submitted on EPA form 8700-13B and cover facility activities during the previous calendar year (265.75)?		_	_N/A
3.	Does the report include the following infromation: (265.75)			
a.	EPA identification number, name and address of the facility?	_		
ь.	Calendar year covered by report?	\int		
c.	For off-site facilities, the EPA identification number of each generator?	`. 		N/A
đ.	Description and quantity of each E.W. received and, for off-site facilities, the EPA identification number of each generator listed with this information?	∠		
e.	Methods of treatment, storage, or disposal for each H.W.?			
£.	Monitoring data under \$ 265.94a.2.ii and iii and b.2 ?			NA
g.	Most recent closure and post-closure cost estimates?			Chouse for Burning Range dated 2/8 Chouse for Treatment tank dated 2/86
h.	Required certification?	_/		

c: 0064573108
INSPECTOR BP

VI. Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting: - Con't (Part 265 Subpart E)

		Yes	No	Comments
(P)	Unmanifested Waste Report:			
1.	For a facility that has accepted a H.W. from an off-site source without an accompanying manifest, was a report containing the required information submitted to the RA within 15 days after receiving the H.W. (265.76a-g)?			_ N/A
(G)	Additional Reports:			•
1.	Has the facility reported to the RA: (265.77)			
a.	Releases, fires and explosions?			NIA
b.	Ground-water contamination and monitoring data?			N/4.
c.	Pacility closure?			NIA

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

VIII. Closure and Post-Closure: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart G)

		Yes	No	Comments
b.	Estimate of the maximum inventory of H.W. in storage and in treatment at any time during the life of the facility (265.112a.2)?	<u> </u>	•	•
c.	Does the inventory include the maximum amount of on-site:			
	H.W. in surface impoundments?	\checkmark		In the closure cost back up date
	H.W. in tanks?	1		
	H.W. in piles?			N/A
	H.W. in containers?	<u> </u>		
	H.W. in drainage pits or sumps?			N/A
	Contaminated soil from spills or leaks?	1		
	Contaminated soils and liners from non-disposal impoundments?			_N/A
•	Contaminated soils from land treatment fields?			N/A
	Decontamination residues?	\checkmark		
	Process residues?			
	Other (specify)?			
d.	Decontamination procedures including: (265.112a.3)	•		
	A list of equipment, containers, structures requiring decontamination?			
	Sampling and analytical methods for determining whether soil contamination or decontamination residues are H.W.?	<u></u>		
	Testing criteria for determining adequacy of clean-up?	<u>/</u>	-700-00-0	
	Methods of treatment or disposal of contaminated soils and residues?			·

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR B

VIII. Closure and Post-Closure: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart G)

		<u>Yes</u>	NO_	Comments
e.	Estimate of the expected year of closure (265.112a.4)?			In closure plan
	Schedule for final closure activities (265.112a.4)?	<u>/</u>		
g.	Does the schedule include:			326 days for storage tanta .
•	Total time required to close?			Contuiners in Part &
	Time required for intervening closure activities? (e.g., Time required for H.W. treatment, disposal, decontamination, and certification inspections.)			90 days after a losure for Centification and reporting
4.	Has the facility amended the plan whenever changes in operating practice or process design affect the plan or there is a change in the expected year of closure (265.112b)? (Plan must be amended within 60 days of the changes.)	*		. '
5.	Has the facility submitted a closure plan to the RA at least 180 days before the date they expect to begin closure (265.112c)?			NA
(B)	Time Allowed For Closure:			
1.	Does the schedule for final closure allow for the following:			
a.	Treatment, removal, or disposal of H.W. within 90 days after receipt of final volume of H.W. or after approval of closure plan (265.113a)?			•
b.	Completion of closure plan activities within 180 days after receipt of final volume of H.W. or after approval of closure plan (265.113b)?	<u>/</u>		

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR SF

VIII. Closure and Post-Closure: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart G)

		Yes	No_	Comments
(C)	Disposal And Decontamination Of Equipment:			•
1.	For facilities that have completed closure activities, has all equipment and structures been properly disposed of or decontaminated by removing all H.W. and contaminated residues (265.114)?			NA
(D)	Certification Of Closure:			
1.	For facilities that have completed closure activities, has a certification by owner/operator and an independent registered professional engineer been submitted to the RA (265.115)?			NA.
(E)	Partial Closure:	. •		
1.	Does the facility plan to close discreet regulated H.W. manage-units during the intended operating life?	✓		Three H.W. surface impoundment
	If "Yes" complete compliance form for partial closure.			are closed already.

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR 13

VIII. <u>Closure and Post-Closure</u>: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart G)

Compliance Form For Partial Closure

		Yes	No_	Comments
(E)	Partial Closure:			document not
1.	Does the closure plan describe how the facility will be partially closed (265.112a.1)?		— <u> </u>	(Three H.W. aress) Impoundments closed in 1983
2.	Does the plan describe the size of areas partially closed?		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3.	Does the plan describe the procedures for partial closure?		_/	
4.	Does the plan address maintenance activities, including: (265.112a.1)			
b. c. d. f. g. h.	Visual inspections? Ground-water monitoring? Maintaining cover? Maintaining diversion structures? Controlling erosion? Maintaining vegetation? Maintaining site security systems? Leachate collection system? Gas collection system? Other (specify)?			W/A # W/A
5.	Does the plan describe the frequencies for each type of maintenance activity (265.112a.1)?		<u>\</u>	•
6.	Does the plan describe when the facility will be partially closed (265.112a.1)?	Companyida	\checkmark	
7.	Does the schedule for partial closure include: (265.112a.1)			
b.	Date(s) of partial closure(s)? Total time required for each partial closure? Time required for intervening partial closure activities? (e.g., time required for waste removal, stabilization, treatment, disposal; placement of cover; vegetation; decontamination; certification		✓ ✓ ✓	

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 08
INSPECTOR B

IX. Financial Requirements: (Part 265 Subpart H)

Comments

	· ·					
(A)	Cost Estimate For Closure:			,		
1.	Has a written estimate been prepared of the cost of closing the facility (265.142a)?	<u> </u>				
	What is the amount of the closure co	st estimate				A
2.	Does the estimate equal the cost of closure at the point when the extent and manner of the operation would make closure the most expensive (265.142a)?		Lower E	said	wioùs estimul Mr. Bloom	. More
3.	Does the cost estimate cover all the activities in the closure plan (265.142a)?	<u> </u>				
4.	Has the cost estimate been adjusted for inflation within 30 days after each anniversary of the date on which the first cost estimate was prepared (265.142b)?	· /				
5.	Was the adjustment made by using an inflation factor derived from the Annual Implicit Price Deflator for Gross National Product as published by the U.S. Dept. of Commerce in its "Survey of Current Business" (265.142b)?	·		//N		
La	itest Annual Deflator =					
Prev	rious Annual Deflator =					
	Inflation Factor =	(latest de	flator/prev	vious de	flator)	
Cur	rent Cost Adjustment = \$	(lates	t adjusted	estimat	e x inflation	factor)

CA 0 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR S

IX. <u>Financial Requirements</u>: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart H)

		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Comments
	Was the cost estimate revised when- ever a change in the closure plan increased the cost of closure (265.142c)? (Revised estimate must be adjusted for inflation.)	_		Facility did not include closure For operation building from mizardow
7.	Are the following kept at the facility during the operating life of the facility: (265.142d)			
a.	Latest closure cost estimate?	_		
b.	Latest adjusted closure cost estimate?	$\sqrt{}$		
8.	Is there written documentation supporting the closure cost estimate?	_/	<u>.</u>	•••
a.	Workups from labor, material and equipment requirements?	<u>/</u>		
b.	Contractor estimates and bids?	\checkmark		
c.	Figures derived from cost estimating handbooks?			Mosty from Knowledge and current rate
d.	Figures derived from operator experience?	1	.	
9.	Does the estimate accurately reflect the cost of closure for similar types of facilities?			Not inspection

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 08
INSPECTOR BP...

X. <u>Use And Management Of Containers:</u> (Part 265 Subpart I)

		<u>Yes</u>	No_	Comments
1.	Does the facility transfer H.W. from containers not in good condition or leaking to containers in good condition (265.171)?	<u>~</u>		NO Legking downs observed
2.	Are containers compatible with H.W. stored in them (265.172)?	_		
3.	Are containers stored closed (265.173a)?	_		storage area, & Notified facility.
4.	Are containers managed to prevent rupture or leakage (265.173b)?	<u> </u>	_	
5.	Are containers inspected weekly for leaks and deterioration (265.174)?	<u> </u>		
6.	Are ignitable or reactive wastes stored at least 50 feet from the facility's property line (265.176)?	_		
7.	Are incompatible wastes stored in separate containers (265.177a)?	<u> </u>		
8.	Are H.W. not placed in unwashed containers that previously held an incompatible waste or material (265.177b)?	<u>/</u>		
9.	Are containers holding a H.W. that is incompatible with any waste or materials stored nearby in other containers, piles, open tanks, or surface impoundments separated from the incompatibles by suffecient distance or protected by means of a dike, berm wall, or other device (265.177c)?	<u>. </u>		N/A waste areas not edjace t
10.	Are containers that are not empty managed as a H.W. (261.7a.2)?	<u>~</u>		
11.	For a container to be considered empty the facility must ensure that:	Y		
	No more than one inch of residue remains on bottom of container or inner lining (261.7b.1)? Containers that held an acutely H.W. are tripled rinsed using a solvent capable of removing the contents	∠		
	(261.7b.3)?	1		

į

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

XI. Tanks: (Part 265 Subpart J)

		<u>Yes</u>	No	Comments
1.	Is the treatment or storage of H.W. in tanks conducted so that it does not: (265.192a)			
a.	Generate extreme heat or pressure; fire or explosion; or violent reaction?	✓		
b.	Produce uncontrolled toxic or flammable mists, fumes, dusts, or gases?	<u> </u>		
c.	Damage the structural integrity of the tank?			Tank form is not being used
2.	Are H.W. or treatment reagents placed in a tank so that they do not cause the tank or its inner liner to rupt- ure, leak, corrode, or otherwise fail (265.192b)?			at this time. Liner needs to be replaced.
3.	Do uncovered tanks have at least 2 feet of freeboard, or dikes, or other containment features (265.192c)?			_N/A
4.	Where H.W. is continuously fed into a tank, is the tank equipped with a waste feed cutoff system or by-pass system to a stand-by tank (265.192d)?			NIA
5.	Does the facility conduct waste analysis and trial treatment or storage tests, or have they obtained written documentation on similar storage or treatment of similar waste under similar operating conditions before the tank is used to:			
a.	Chemically treat or store a H.W. which is substantially different from waste previously treated or stored in the tank (265.193a.1)?			N/a
b.	Chemically treat H.W. with a substantially different process than was previously used (265.193a.2)?	<u> </u>		

CA 104/572100

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR

XI. Tanks: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart J)

		Yes	No_	Comments
6.	Are daily and weekly inspections done for the following:			
a.	Discharge control equipment e.g., feed cutoff, bypass and drainage systems (Daily) (265.194a.1)?	_/		·
b.	Data gathered from monitoring equipment e.g., pressure and temperature			
c.	gauges (Daily) (265.194a.2)? Level of waste in uncovered tanks (Daily) (265.194a.3)?	<u>~</u>		N/f)
d.	Construction materials of tank e.g., corrosion, leaking fixtures or seams (Weekly) (265.194a.4)?			
e.	Discharge confinement structures e.g., dikes (Weekly) (265.194a.5)?	<u>~</u>	_	
7.	At closure, are all H.W. and residues removed from tanks and associated equipment and structures (265.197)?			. W/A
8.	Are ignitable or reactive waste treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in a tank so that the resulting waste no longer meets the definition of ignitability or reactivity (265.198a.1)? or	<u></u>		NA
9.	Are ignitable or reactive waste stored or treated in such a way that it is protected from conditions which may cause the waste to ignite or react (265.198a.2)?			
10.	Does the facility comply with the buffer zone requirements for covered tanks containing ignitable or reactive wastes specified in tables 2-1 through 2-6 of the National Fire Protection Association's "Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code" (1977 or 1981) (265.198b)?			
11.	Are incompatible wastes stored in separate tanks (265.199a)?			N/A
12.	Are H.W. not placed in unwashed tanks that previously held an incompatible waste or material (265.199b)?			N/A

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

XII. Surface Impoundments: (Part 265 Subpart K)

		<u>Yes</u>	No	Comments
1.	Do impoundments have at least 2 feet of freeboard (265.222)?			N/A No active surface impoundments
2.	Do earthen dikes have protective cover to minimize wind and water erosion and to preserve their structural integrity (265.223)?			
3.	Does the facility conduct waste analysis and trial treatment tests, or have they obtained written documentation on similar treatment of similar waste under similar opreating conditions before the impoundment is is used to:			
•	Chemically treat a H.W. which is substantially different from waste previously treated in the impoundment (265.225a.1)? Chemically treat H.W. with a substantially different process than was previously used (265.225a.2)?			
4.	Is the treatment of H.W. in impoundments conducted so that it does not: (265.225a.2)			
2.	Generate extreme heat or pressure; fire or explosion; or violent reaction?			
	Produce uncontrolled toxic or flammable mists, fumes, dusts, or gases?			
	Damage the structural integrity of the liner?			
d.	Threaten human health or the environment?			
5.	Is the freeboard level inspected at least daily (265.226a.1)?			
6.	Are the dikes inspected weekly for evidence of leaks, deterioration or failure (265.226a.2)?			

4/17/86

CA D 06 4 57 3 1 0 8

INSPECTOR B

XII. Surface Impoundments: - Continued (Part 265 Subpart K)

(Rubbered line ponds)

		Yes	No	Comments
a. b. c.	At closure, has the facility removed from the impoundments: (265.228a) Standing liquids? Waste and waste residues? The liner, if any? Underlying and surrounding contaminated soil?	Tank # 31	Ferm) 7 rubb	en line paul. Now concrete containment too. K storage: d prosphorus (Lower Rue) - waiting for DOHS brad Azide work water surface approval. No closure cerb, f. thon cooldle be produced concerning malysic.
8.	At closure, has the facility demonstrated under § 261.3 c & d that none of the materials listed in (7) remaining at any stage of removal are H.W. (265.228b)?		<u> </u>	
9.	If the answers to (7) & (8) are no, has the facility closed the impoundment and provided post-closure care as a landfill (265.228c)?		<u> </u>	
10.	Is an ignitable or reactive waste treated, or mixed before or immediately after placement in an impoundment so that the resulting waste no longer meets the definition of ignitability or reactivity (265.229a.1)?			N/A
11.	Does the facility take precautions to ensure that incompatible wastes and materials are not placed in the same impoundment (265.230)?	•	· ·	w/p
				Section III waste Piles 'I IIV Land Treatment " XV Landfills 'are deleted - 11/11

4/17/86

Inspection Checklist for HSWA Requirements

CA 0 06 4 57 3 1 0 8
INSPECTOR BP

Loss of Interim Status (\$270.73)

		YES	<u>NO</u>	COMMENTS
1.	Does the facility have any RCRA units that were subject to the loss of Interim Status provision of HSWA? (MAJOR FACILITIES)		<u>/</u>	
2.	Did any of the affected RCRA units lose Interim Status on 11/8/85?			
3.	If so, are any of those units still accepting RCRA hazardous waste?			NA
4.	Which ones?			WA
5.	If the facility has ceased accepting hazardous waste, what was the last date on which RCRA hazardous waste was placed in such unit(s)?	:		M/A
6.	Are any of the RCRA units now accepting waste that is non-hazardous or regulated only by the State?			N/A